



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/829,601	04/22/2004	Eric Hughson Tudor	10286.0368.NPUS00BJSC:368 6882	
23369	7590 01/27/2006		EXAM	INER
HOWREY I			DANG, H	OANG C
C/O IP DOCKETING DEPARTMENT 2941 FAIRVIEW PARK DRIVE, SUITE 200 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22042-7195		200	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		3672		

DATE MAILED: 01/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/829,601	TUDOR ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Hoang Dang	3672				
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin I will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te. cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30	December 2005.					
•	is action is non-final.					
Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>18-25</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-5 and 16</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 6-15 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) 18-25 are subject to restriction and/	or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examir	ner.					
10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 April 2004</u> is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☑ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority documents. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents. See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in Applicati ority documents have been receive au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 4/24/2004.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/829,601

Art Unit: 3672

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

- 1. Applicant's election without traverse of Group I, claims 1-17 in the reply filed on 12/30/2005 is acknowledged.
- 2. Claims 18-25 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

 Election was made without traverse in the reply filed on 12/30/2005.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 2. Claims 1 and 16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Creighton (US 2,128,352).

The claimed structure reads exactly on the embodiment shown in figures 9-10 of Creighton when members (31b), (28b), (37b), (72), (50-53) and (36b) are respectively considered as "housing", "housing port", "shuttle", "shuttle port", "check valve" and "biasing means" as recited. As for claim 16, the "adapted" phrase is given no patentable weight. The tool of Creighton as shown in figures 9-10 is capable of being associated with a straddle packer as recited.

Page 3 Application/Control Number: 10/829,601

Art Unit: 3672

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 3.

obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are

such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person

having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the

manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Creighton

'352.

Creighton discloses the invention as claimed except that the "housing" 31b and "shuttle"

(37b) of Creighton are one-piece body whereas the claims call for two-piece bodies. However, it

would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to

construct the "housing" or "shuttle" of two separate pieces since it has been held that

constructing a formerly integral structure in various elements involves only routine skill in the

art. Nerwin v. Erlichman, 168 USPQ 177, 179.

Allowable Subject Matter

4. Claim 17 is allowed.

Claims 6-15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be 5.

allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and

any intervening claims.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 10/829,601

Art Unit: 3672

Specification

6. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: Page 23, line 3, either the word "greater" should be --smaller-- or the words "above" and "below" should be changed to --below-- and --above--, respectively.

Appropriate correction is required.

Drawings

7. The drawings are objected to because parts shown in section in Figure 2 are not properly crosshatched. Further, it is suggested that either the words –Straddle Packer— are added to the reference numeral 10 in figure 2 or the straddle packer denoted by reference numeral 10 in figure 2 is removed to show the open end of the isolation assembly. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR

Application/Control Number: 10/829,601

Art Unit: 3672

1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Conclusion

- 8. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hoang Dang whose telephone number is 571-272-7028. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:15-5:45 Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Dave Bagnell can be reached on 571-272-6999. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hoang Dang Primary Examiner Art Unit 3672